



Exercise in cancer patients: assistance levels and referral pathways—a position statement from the Spanish Society of Medical Oncology

Blanca Herrero López¹ · Ana Cardeña-Gutiérrez² · Ana Godoy Ortiz³ · Ana Gonzaga López⁴ · Ana María Grueso López⁵ · Ana Nuño Alves⁶ · Patricia Ramírez Daffós⁷ · César A. Rodríguez Sánchez⁸ · Ángel R. Rodríguez Pérez⁹ · Víctor Sacristán Santos¹⁰ · Salvador Saura Grau¹¹ · Raquel Sebío García¹² · Miguel Ángel Seguí Palmer¹³

Received: 29 May 2024 / Accepted: 3 June 2024
© The Author(s) 2024

Abstract

There is growing evidence about how physical activity can improve cancer care. Unfortunately, exercise is still not widely prescribed to oncology patients, despite the benefit it brings. For this to occur, it is necessary for a multidisciplinary approach involving different types of healthcare professionals, given that each treatment be tailored for each single case. Besides incorporating appropriate infrastructures and referral pathways, we need to integrate exercise into healthcare practice, which ameliorates patients' quality of life and treatment side effects. From the Spanish Society of Medical Oncology (SEOM), and through the Exercise and Cancer Working Group, we indicate considerations, analyze patient care scenarios, and propose a referral pathway algorithm for exercise prescription, taking in account the patient's needs. In later sections of this paper, we describe how this algorithm could be implemented, and how the exercise programs should be built, including the physical activity contents, the settings, and the delivery mode. We conclude that professionals, infrastructures, and organizations should be available at every assistance level to create programs providing adequate exercise training for cancer patients.

Keywords Cancer · Cancer care · Exercise · Exercise therapy · Integrative care in oncology · Multidisciplinary · Multidisciplinary approach · Oncology · Supportive care · Treatment

✉ Blanca Herrero López
b.herrero88@gmail.com

¹ Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Gregorio Marañón. Madrid (España), Madrid, Spain

² Hospital Universitario Nuestra Señora de Candelaria. Santa Cruz de Tenerife (España), Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain

³ UGCI Oncología Médica Hospitales Universitarios Regional y Virgen de La Victoria, Málaga, Málaga, Spain

⁴ Hospital de Sagunto. Valencia (España), Port de Sagunt, Spain

⁵ Hospital Universitario Virgen Macarena, Seville, Spain

⁶ Hospital Obispo Polanco, Teruel, Spain

⁷ Hospital Puerta del Mar, Cádiz, Spain

⁸ Hospital Clínico Universitario de Salamanca-IBSAL, Salamanca, Spain

⁹ Hospital Universitario Fundación Jiménez Díaz, Madrid, Spain

¹⁰ Complejo Hospitalario Universitario A Coruña, A Coruña, Spain

¹¹ Hospital Universitario de Gran Canaria Dr. Negrín, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain

¹² Servicio de Rehabilitación. Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

¹³ Parc Taulí Consorci Corporació Sanitaria. Sabadell. Servicio de Oncología. Institut d'Investigació i Innovació Parc Taulí, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

Introduction

Modern cancer care requires a multidisciplinary approach for each patient. The number of cancer survivors is constantly increasing, thanks to the recent advances in diagnosis and treatment; approximately two-thirds of cancer patients will reach long-term survival for over 5 years [1, 2]. About 25% of the world's adult population does not meet world health organization (WHO) physical activity recommendations. However, exercise is an essential element in maintaining a healthy lifestyle. It is consistently related to lower incidence and mortality rates of several cancer types [3, 4]. Moreover, regular exercise practice is associated with improvements in quality of life (QoL) and fewer treatment-related side effects [5].

Evidence shows that exercise can reduce up to 30% the risk of breast, colon, bladder, endometrial, esophageal, and gastric cancers [6]. As well, it is also associated with an almost 20% risk reduction of disease-specific mortality for all cancers combined. The largest and better-designed studies of survival outcomes focus on breast and colorectal cancer, with clear reductions in cancer-specific and overall mortality. Interestingly, it also shows improvements in mortality outcomes for other cancer locations such as prostate or lung [3].

Cancer diagnosis and antineoplastic treatments imply a cardiovascular fitness worsening. Both lead to body composition changes, multiple treatment-derived adverse effects, e.g., nausea, diarrhea, insomnia, fatigue, etc., and, ultimately, a global quality of life deterioration. Aerobic and resistance regular exercise should be recommended for patients undergoing cancer treatment, given that it is related to cardiorespiratory fitness enhancement, along with fatigue and patient-reported outcomes (PROs) improvements [7].

The biological mechanisms whereby exercise interferes with cancer development and progression target all hallmarks of cancer. It reduces anabolic and sexual hormones' production, regulates apoptosis-related gene expression, favoring cancer cell death via myokines production, helps to restrain cell-cycle control, increases tissue perfusion and vascularization, interferes with cancer cell invasion by enhancing adhesion protein expression, and improves immune system function [6, 8, 9].

Despite the plethora of scientific evidence about the benefits before and after cancer diagnosis and treatment, very few patients remain physically active. Multiple factors may influence this: a lack of training for medical doctors and other healthcare professionals, that should be able to identify cancer patients who can practice exercise without supervision, and those who need specific attention and monitoring; a need for more research to better understand

each patient's biological and physiological changes with exercise, to identify the adequate exercise intensity and frequency that should be prescribed; and a lack of enough multidisciplinary units or programs dedicated to exercise in oncology in health care centers. In turn, patient status may influence decision-making on exercise practice: the presence of disease or treatment-related symptoms, e.g., pain or nausea, limited self-confidence, lack of motivation, fear of potential exercise-induced adverse effects, or different socioeconomic difficulties to gain access to exercise services [4, 10].

In this position statement, the Spanish Society of Medical Oncology (SEOM) through the Exercise and Cancer Working Group aims to generate and provide practical evidence-based recommendations for clinicians and to optimize exercise practice for cancer patients and refer them to the adequate setting.

General considerations for exercise prescription in cancer patients

Exercise prescription in clinical practice requires performing a complete pre-exercise assessment. The objectives are to identify potential risks and barriers of exercise practice and to achieve the greatest benefit for each patient and clinical situation. Some of these barriers make the screening process difficult for oncology care providers, such as limited available time during medical appointments, lack of training on exercise prescription, and lack of human and economic resources [11, 12].

A comprehensive approach including physical, emotional, and behavioral state of the patient may help oncology care providers to recognize the main issues influencing personalized exercise prescription. Several factors need to be considered before exercise prescription for cancer patients [11–13]. They are summarized in the next four sections (Fig. 1).

Physical condition evaluation

Determination of a baseline lifestyle—active or sedentary—previous exercise history, movement restriction, and level of physical activity knowledge can help to establish the starting point for exercise prescription. Some validated scales may also be useful to address baseline physical activity such as the Godin–Shepard leisure-time exercise questionnaire [14] or the international physical activity questionnaire (IPAQ) [15].

It is essential to identify age-related physical conditions, e.g., fall risk, cognitive impairment, mobility issues, social issues, geriatric syndromes, that may have an impact on exercise prescription. In this setting, applying elderly frailty scales




Fig. 1 Factors to consider for exercise prescription in cancer patients

for screening such as the G8 screening tool [16] or the Yale Physical Activity Survey scale is recommended [16].

Comorbidities assessment

Cancer patients usually exhibit other cardiovascular, respiratory, and metabolic diseases, as well as articular or musculoskeletal impairments. Those might limit their exercise capacity such as hypertension, arrhythmia, history of ischemic heart disease, deep-vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, etc. Furthermore, patients with previous or ongoing anthracycline-based treatment, anti-HER2 therapies, tyrosine kinase inhibitors or previous thoracic radiotherapy (RT) may develop treatment-related cardiotoxicity. Respiratory diseases are also common diagnoses in cancer patients—chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), smoking habits or pneumonitis.

These and other health conditions may have an impact on exercise type and intensity: recommendations can be adapted for patients with musculoskeletal impairment, such as arthralgia, arthrosis, arthritis, movement limitation, or metabolic diseases, such as type 1 or 2 diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, obesity, malnutrition. An initial and regular checkout of relevant patient comorbidities is recommended. This approach would be helpful to customize exercise recommendations and reduce potential risks.

Oncological status and treatment-related side effects

Oncology care providers and cancer patients may be concerned about the safety of practicing physical exercise.

However, it has been shown that exercise is safe during all the cancer care continuum, even during cancer treatment [17]. Regular exercise is associated with decreased treatment's toxicity and, potentially, response rate improvements [18, 19].

Exercise prescription for cancer patients requires weighting treatment-related toxicities as well as cancer stage and location: patients may present mobility limitations due to brain metastasis, increased risk of fracture or pain related to bone metastasis, surgery sequelae such as lymphedema, ostomies, painful scars, and other special situations derived from peripheral or central venous carriers. Another important issue is the potential risk of cytopenia; in these cases, exercise protocols may need to be modified [20].

Lifestyle, social, and environmental issues

Understanding the patient's daily leisure time availability, being an active worker or retired, as well as social and family support is required before exercise prescription. Environmental factors such as exercise place (outdoor or indoor), location (rural or city), home-based or gym-based programs and the presence of exercise facilities also must be taken into account. Furthermore, lifestyle habits like diet and related variables such as weight have an important impact on exercise prescription. Financial difficulties, frequently derived from cancer diagnosis and treatments, are usually underestimated and must be considered along with educational level when prescribing exercise.

Cancer patients require oncology care providers to keep in mind all these elements to bring them an adequate and

safe exercise prescription [13]. We recommend a regular assessment of treatment status, exercise capacity, comorbidities and relevant treatment or disease-related symptoms.

Cancer patients' scenarios for exercise training

Regular physical activity and structured exercise can be delivered in a multiphasic way throughout the disease. Most of the current evidence focuses on the role of physical activity and exercise training for cancer survivors after active treatment is finished. However, during the last few years, there is a growing body of evidence focusing on patients' engagement in exercise programs across all cancer phases: before treatment, during treatment, after treatment, and in palliative care settings.

Prehabilitation

Prehabilitation is the period between a major diagnosis, i.e., cancer, and the beginning of targeted therapies, i.e., surgery or chemotherapy (CT) [21]. Multimodal prehabilitation including exercise training, nutritional optimization/ supplementation, and psychological support is usually delivered when tumor resection is the first line of treatment, given that a few weeks are available before surgery. In a recent international multi-center randomized controlled trial, 4 weeks of supervised multimodal prehabilitation including exercise training decrease postoperative complications and enhance recovery 4 weeks after surgery [22]. Importantly, as some patients will undergo adjuvant therapy after surgery, recovery of functional capacity should be a key outcome of prehabilitation.

Unfortunately, prehabilitation and particularly exercise training before systemic cancer treatment have received much less attention, given the short window between cancer diagnosis and treatment beginning. However, preliminary evidence shows that exercise training during prehabilitation could lead to an increase in cardiorespiratory fitness (VO_2 max) and muscle function, improving patients' frail functional status, and making them eligible for treatment [23, 24]. Despite there are no studies that evaluate the effect of preoperative exercise training in patients facing systemic treatment, prehabilitation can also be used throughout the disease continuum, to prepare patients to withstand sequential treatments over time [25].

Neoadjuvant (NAT) and adjuvant treatment (AT)

NAT and AT refer to the administration of cancer therapies, e.g., CT, radiation therapy (RT), endocrine therapy (ET),

before or after a curative therapeutic approach, i.e., surgery, respectively. Exercise is important in NAT/AT settings for several reasons: first, exercise improves surgical outcomes, as well as overall survival and disease-free survival rates [3, 26, 27]; second, exercise has been found to enhance patients' quality of life and diminish fatigue levels, among other cancer-related symptoms [28, 29]. Overall, incorporating exercise into neoadjuvant treatment can have important benefits for cancer patients [30–32].

Advanced disease treatment

Due to cancer patient's heterogeneity, it is necessary to adapt the treatment to each case. As a consequence of the limited scientific evidence of the effectiveness of exercise in this situation, it is important to consult the specialized oncology care team before starting any exercise program during metastatic cancer treatment.

An increasing number of patients undergo not only chemotherapy but also other types of targeted therapy or immunotherapy during their cancer care continuum. Currently, there are no contraindications or specific data for not recommending exercise practice in this population; it is related to quality-of-life and fatigue improvements, bone health maintenance, lower risk of sarcopenia, etc. [33, 34]

RT is also well-established and widely used for treating malignancies. It is generally safe to include exercise in these patients, especially given that RT is usually associated with fewer adverse events compared to systemic treatments [35–38].

Cancer survivors

For cancer survivors, exercise could potentially maintain its benefits by improving physical function, reducing the risk of recurrence, improving depressive symptoms, fatigue and lymphedema, and enhancing overall quality of life [3, 30, 39, 40]. There is not enough data and a weaker level of evidence about cardiac protection and cognitive function impairment [41].

Referral decision algorithm for exercise training in patients with a cancer diagnosis: who, when, and where

Based on the previous sections and considering all the possible situations during the cancer care continuum, we propose different alternatives for patients to engage safely in physical activity and structured exercise. We provide a referral algorithm for oncologists to decide when, where, and who to refer cancer patients for an adequate exercise prescription (see Fig. 2).




Fig. 2 Referral decision algorithm for exercise prescription in cancer patients

Algorithm implementation and exercise assistance levels

There is dramatically growing evidence supporting the role of exercise programs for people living with and beyond cancer. Unfortunately, implementation of exercise-based programs is still scarce. Several reasons might be responsible for this paucity of programs in clinical practice which include funding and hospital budget policies and priorities, insufficient trained personnel, and lack of well-designed protocols and referral pathways.

To implement an exercise program for cancer patients, three main aspects should be considered: (i) healthcare organization and infrastructure; (ii) human resources and personnel; and (iii) coordination and organization of different strata involved. As a result, availability might vary in both in-hospital and outpatient community-based programs,

supplies and staff involved, depending on the local and regional healthcare policies.

Implementation and coordination of in-hospital exercise programs

Our national healthcare system organization implies that some differences might arise in the distribution of resources and patient–professional ratios, which might hinder the standardization of exercise-based programs in-hospital. Currently, there is wide heterogeneity within our national system in the availability of these programs as well as their complexity and delivery mode. To implement a hospital-based exercise program, it is recommended a structured pathway for patients' referral, and continuous coordination and communication between the healthcare team. For the latter, an interdisciplinary team encompassing at least a medical oncologist,




Fig. 3 The triangle base represents the functional continuum in cancer patients. Below are the health care or exercise professionals regarding patient complexity. Diagonal arrows represent the oncology specialists' initial exercise prescription, referral to professional inter-

vention circuits based on the patient's physical capacity or impairments and reassessment recommendations at oncology appointments

a physical medicine and rehabilitation doctor, and a physiotherapist is recommended. In addition, other healthcare professionals such as radiation oncologists, oncology nurses, occupational therapists, surgeons, anesthesiologists, and cardiologists could also be included, based on the patients' needs.

Referral pathways might be difficult to standardize, as they widely vary with each hospital organization and complexity. Most importantly, patients should be referred to the exercise program as soon as possible to minimize the progression of disease-related symptoms and dysfunctions. We recommend that after the clinical assessment by the oncologist, patients should be referred to the exercise program within the following 2 weeks to avoid more deconditioning and prevent further decline. Finally, in terms of material resources, we recommend a hospital gym with some exercise machines or a diaphanous space where directed physical activities can be performed with body weight and/or low-cost equipment, such as elastic bands, free weights, and others.

Implementation and coordination of community-based exercise programs

Despite in-hospital exercise programs being recommended for close monitoring of patient's progress, especially for those with more severe limitations or impairments, they cannot be applied indiscriminately, as they usually can only accommodate a selected number of patients. It is important to design specific referral pathways for those patients who can safely exercise outside a healthcare facility. In this case, the interdisciplinary team should be formed at least by

the medical oncologist as well as an exercise professional or physiotherapist in the referred facility. In addition, we recommend the inclusion of other healthcare professionals such as oncology nurses, sports medicine doctors or physical medicine and rehabilitation doctors to monitor potential adverse events.

Referral pathways for these programs are currently very difficult to define, as they depend on local resources and regional physical activity policies. Ideally, the recommendation for cancer patients about participating in exercise programs should come from medical oncologists, and based on an initial assessment of physical functioning, symptoms, and disease-related impairments; then an exercise professional or experienced physiotherapist could implement it in the community (Fig. 3). Based on the patient's status and preferences, the professional would choose the most adequate exercise program, as detailed in the following document section.

Exercise programs

When discussing exercise programs for cancer patients, it is essential to consider the contents, settings, and delivery mode to ensure the safety, effectiveness, and success of planned interventions.

Contents

An exercise oncology program must combine the best available evidence with the expertise of professionals who apply

it. Aerobic and resistance exercises have been the primary focus of most studies on physical activity and cancer [41], while there is less evidence specifically addressing flexibility and balance exercises benefits for cancer patients. All of them are essential components of a comprehensive exercise program.

Aerobic exercises such as walking, jogging, cycling, swimming, and various adaptive training practices improve cardiorespiratory fitness, reduce fatigue, and enhance cancer patient's quality of life. Resistance exercise training, which involves the use of weights or other forms of resistance to strengthen muscles like elastic bands, constitutes a key component of beneficial physical activity for cancer patients. They help to counteract muscle weakness and lean body mass loss, usually present in cancer patients as treatment-related side effects, improving functional capacity, and reducing fatigue [42]. Flexibility exercises, such as stretching and yoga, help maintain or improve range of motion and reduce muscle stiffness, which is particularly important for patients who may experience limited mobility due to treatment, including surgery. Finally, balance exercises, e.g., tai chi and specific balance training, can help to improve stability and reduce the risk of falls; this exercise modality is of particular importance for cancer patients diagnosed with bone loss or metastases [43].

Settings

There are many uncertain moments and negativity along the cancer continuum. Understanding patient's concerns is vital as they often feel vulnerable. For instance, patients may feel intimidated or uncomfortable in places where healthy individuals engage in sports; accordingly, it is important to offer cancer patients a harmonious environment in which they feel physically and psychologically comfortable when practicing exercise [44]. This setting should also help patients familiarize themselves with new materials and exercises through proper instruction. By perceiving emotional needs and creating an empathetic and supportive environment, we can ensure that they feel comfortable and confident while participating in exercise programs [45, 46].

Deciding each appropriate exercise setting for each patient requires to understand the range between high functionality and severe impairment (Fig. 1). For patients with limitations, training goals aim to promote and preserve autonomy and symptomatic control in an in-hospital setting that provides a safe and controlled environment, ensuring the necessary resources and support to address their specific needs [47]. In contrast, for those without significant impairment, exercise practice aims for physical reconditioning and should be carried out on an outpatient basis, with more flexible exercise approaches that allow patients to engage in exercise sessions outside a hospital setting. This scenario

fosters a sense of autonomy and empowers them to take an active role in their recovery and health maintenance.

In outpatient exercise settings, supervision can be further divided into professionalized environments and community environments [12]. Professionalized environments include healthcare centers and associations with medical support, that is, healthcare together with physical activity professionals providing specific exercise programs tailored to each patient's needs [48]. Community environments encompass engagement in activities to raise awareness campaigns within public spaces, fitness centers, or online remote program initiatives led by exercise professionals. These settings offer patients a more accessible way to integrate exercise practice into their daily routines. It helps to also connect with other people facing similar challenges, promoting a sense of community and support.

Delivery mode

Exercise programs for cancer patients can be conducted through various formats: in-person, online or hybrid, either in group or one-to-one. Each way offers unique benefits and addresses specific patient needs [49].

In-person exercise programs provide direct supervision and guidance from healthcare professionals or certified trainers, immediate feedback, and personalized adjustments to the exercise routine, ensuring a safe and effective exercise practice. Online remotely supported exercise programs offer cancer patients flexibility and convenience, allowing them to participate in physical activity from the comfort of their homes. This format can be particularly beneficial for those with limited mobility or transportation options and can be easier to fit individual schedules, which may also improve adherence and overall program satisfaction [50]. Hybrid exercise programs combine the benefits of both in-person and online formats. These programs typically begin with in-person instruction to ensure proper technique and understanding of the exercises, followed by online sessions for continued support and motivation. This approach allows patients to establish a strong foundation in exercise principles while maintaining the convenience and flexibility of online sessions.

Group exercise sessions, whether conducted in-person or online, offer cancer patients the opportunity to connect and promote the *herd effect*, where patients feel motivated and encouraged by their peers' progress. Large online group sessions can reach a wider audience, while smaller in-person group sessions may allow for more personalized attention from the instructor and closer connections among participants, further enhancing motivation and adherence. One-on-one exercise sessions, either in-person or online, can be especially beneficial for cancer patients with important limitations, or those requiring closer supervision. These

individualized sessions allow healthcare professionals or certified trainers to tailor the interventions specifically to each patient's condition, ensuring a safe and effective exercise experience. However, one-on-one sessions may be more resource-intensive and costly compared to group sessions, which could limit their availability and accessibility.

Conclusion

Evidence supporting the benefits of exercise training throughout the cancer continuum is robust and rapidly increasing. However, several factors need to be taken into account to translate effective exercise training programs into routine clinical practice. In this document, the most relevant features regarding exercise program implementation for cancer patients have been highlighted. Given the population heterogeneity, it is imperative to provide different settings which involve a wide variety of healthcare and exercise professionals to ensure an adequate assistance according to the patient's needs. Professionals, infrastructures, and organizations should be available at all assistance levels to ultimately create programs that provide exercise training for cancer patients.

Data availability This article is a position statement based on the best available scientific evidence at the time, not a research study. We encourage readers to contact us as the Exercise and Cancer Working Group of the Spanish Society of Medical Oncology (SEOM) for further information and guidance.

Declarations

Conflict of interests The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Reference lists

1. Miller KD, Nogueira L, Devasia T, Mariotto AB, Yabroff KR, Jemal A, et al. Cancer treatment and survivorship statistics, 2022. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2022;72:409–36.
2. LAS_CIFRAS_DEL_CANCER_EN_ESPANA_2022 [Internet]. [cited 2024 Apr 29]. Available from: https://seom.org/images/LAS_CIFRAS_DEL_CANCER_EN_ESPANA_2022.pdf
3. Friedenreich CM, Stone CR, Cheung WY, Hayes SC. Physical activity and mortality in cancer survivors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JNCI Cancer Spectr.* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jncics/pkz080>.
4. Pollán M, Casla-Barrio S, Alfaro J, Esteban C, Segui-Palmer MA, Lucia A, et al. Exercise and cancer: a position statement from the Spanish Society of Medical Oncology. *Clin Transl Oncol.* 2020;22:1710–29.
5. Rock CL, Thomson CA, Sullivan KR, Howe CL, Kushi LH, Caan BJ, et al. American cancer society nutrition and physical activity guideline for cancer survivors. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2022;72:230–62.
6. Friedenreich CM, Ryder-Burbidge C, McNeil J. Physical activity, obesity and sedentary behavior in cancer etiology: epidemiologic evidence and biologic mechanisms. *Mol Oncol.* 2021;15:790–800.
7. Ligibel JA, Bohlke K, May AM, Clinton SK, Demark-Wahnefried W, Gilchrist SC, et al. Exercise, diet, and weight management during cancer treatment: ASCO guideline. *J Clin Oncol.* 2022;40:2491–507.
8. Ruiz-Casado A, Martín-Ruiz A, Pérez LM, Provencio M, Fiuza-Luces C, Lucia A. Exercise and the hallmarks of cancer. *Trends Cancer.* 2017;3:423–41.
9. Papadopetraki A, Maridaki M, Zagouri F, Dimopoulos M-A, Koutsilieris M, Philippou A. Physical exercise restrains cancer progression through muscle-derived factors. *Cancers (Basel).* 2022;14:1892.
10. Watson G, Coyne Z, Houlihan E, Leonard G. Exercise oncology: an emerging discipline in the cancer care continuum. *Postgrad Med.* 2022;134:26–36.
11. Segal R, Zwaal C, Green E, Tomasone JR, Loblaw A, Petrella T. Exercise for people with cancer: a clinical practice guideline. *Curr Oncol.* 2017;24:40–6.
12. Schmitz KH, Campbell AM, Stuver MM, Pinto BM, Schwartz AL, Morris GS, et al. Exercise is medicine in oncology: engaging clinicians to help patients move through cancer. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2019;69:468–84.
13. Stout NL, Brown JC, Schwartz AL, Marshall TF, Campbell AM, Nekhlyudov L, et al. An exercise oncology clinical pathway: screening and referral for personalized interventions. *Cancer.* 2020;126:2750–8.
14. Amireault S, Godin G. The Godin-Shephard leisure-time physical activity questionnaire: validity evidence supporting its use for classifying healthy adults into active and insufficiently active categories. *Percept Mot Skills.* 2015;120:604–22.
15. Roman-Viñas B, Serra-Majem L, Hagströmer M, Ribas-Barba L, Sjöström M, Segura-Cardona R. International physical activity questionnaire: reliability and validity in a Spanish population. *Eur J Sport Sci.* 2010;10:297–304.
16. Dipietro L, Caspersen CJ, Ostfeld AM, Nadel ER. A survey for assessing physical activity among older adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1993;25:628–42.
17. Henriksson A, Johansson B, Radu C, Berntsen S, Igelström H, Nordin K. Is it safe to exercise during oncological treatment? a study of adverse events during endurance and resistance training - data from the phys-can study. *Acta Oncol.* 2021;60:96–105.
18. Wang Q, Zhou W. Roles and molecular mechanisms of physical exercise in cancer prevention and treatment. *J Sport Health Sci.* 2021;10:201–10.
19. Edbrooke L, Granger CL, Denehy L. Physical activity for people with lung cancer. *Aust J Gen Pract.* 2020;49:175–81.
20. Mina DS, Langelier D, Adams SC, Alibhai SMH, Chasen M, Campbell KL, et al. Exercise as part of routine cancer care. *Lancet Oncol.* 2018;19:e433–6.

21. Silver JK, Baima J, Mayer RS. Impairment-driven cancer rehabilitation: an essential component of quality care and survivorship. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2013;63:295–317.
22. Molenaar CJL, Minnella EM, Coca-Martinez M, ten Cate DWG, Regis M, Awasthi R, et al. Effect of multimodal prehabilitation on reducing postoperative complications and enhancing functional capacity following colorectal cancer surgery. *JAMA Surg.* 2023;158:572.
23. Goldsmith I, Chesterfield-Thomas G, Toghil H. Pre-treatment optimization with pulmonary rehabilitation in lung cancer: making the inoperable patients operable. *E Clin Med.* 2021;31:100663.
24. Cesario A, Ferri L, Galetta D, Cardaci V, Biscione G, Pasqua F, et al. Pre-operative pulmonary rehabilitation and surgery for lung cancer. *Lung Cancer.* 2007;57:118–9.
25. Santa Mina D, van Rooijen SJ, Minnella EM, Alibhai SMH, Brahmabhatt P, Dalton SO, et al. Multiphasic prehabilitation across the cancer continuum: a narrative review and conceptual framework. *Front Oncol.* 2020;10:598425.
26. Brown JC, Ma C, Shi Q, Fuchs CS, Meyer J, Niedzwiecki D, et al. Physical activity in stage iii colon cancer: CALGB/SWOG 80702 (Alliance). *J Clin Oncol.* 2023;41:243–54.
27. Falz R, Bischoff C, Thieme R, Lässig J, Mehdorn M, Stelzner S, et al. Effects and duration of exercise-based prehabilitation in surgical therapy of colon and rectal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.* 2022;148:2187–213.
28. Abrahams HJG, Gielissen MFM, Schmits IC, Verhagen CAH-HVM, Rovers MM, Knoop H. Risk factors, prevalence and course of severe fatigue after breast cancer treatment: a meta-analysis involving 12 327 breast cancer survivors. *Ann Oncol.* 2016;27:965–74.
29. Cormie P, Zopf EM, Zhang X, Schmitz KH. The impact of exercise on cancer mortality, recurrence, and treatment-related adverse effects. *Epidemiol Rev.* 2017;39:71–92.
30. Sicardo Jiménez S, Vinolo-Gil MJ, Carmona-Barrientos I, Martín-Vega FJ, García-Muñoz C, Guillén Vargas AR, et al. The influence of therapeutic exercise on survival and the quality of life in survivorship of women with ovarian cancer. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2022;19:16196.
31. Zylstra J, Whyte GP, Beckmann K, Pate J, Santaolalla A, Gervais-Andre L, et al. Exercise prehabilitation during neoadjuvant chemotherapy may enhance tumour regression in oesophageal cancer: results from a prospective non-randomised trial. *Br J Sports Med.* 2022;56:402–9.
32. Sanft T, Harrigan M, McGowan C, Cartmel B, Zupa M, Li F-Y, et al. Randomized trial of exercise and nutrition on chemotherapy completion and pathologic complete response in women with breast cancer: the lifestyle, exercise, and nutrition early after diagnosis study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2023;41:5285–95.
33. Rodríguez-Cañamero S, Cobo-Cuenca AI, Carmona-Torres JM, Pozuelo-Carrascosa DP, Santacruz-Salas E, Rabanales-Sotos JA, et al. Impact of physical exercise in advanced-stage cancer patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cancer Med.* 2022;11:3714–27.
34. Nadler MB, Desnoyers A, Langelier DM, Amir E. The effect of exercise on quality of life, fatigue, physical function, and safety in advanced solid tumor cancers: a meta-analysis of randomized control trials. *J Pain Symp Man.* 2019;58:899–908.e7.
35. Zaorsky NG, Allenby T, Lin J, Rosenberg J, Simone NL, Schmitz KH. Exercise therapy and radiation therapy for cancer: a systematic review. *Int J Rad Oncol Biol Phys.* 2021;110:973–83.
36. Horgan S, O'Donovan A. The impact of exercise during radiation therapy for prostate cancer on fatigue and quality of life: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Med Imaging Radiat Sci.* 2018;49:207–19.
37. Salamon G, Dougherty D, Whiting L, Crawford GB, Stein B, Kotasek D. Effects of a prescribed, supervised exercise programme on tumour disease progression in oncology patients undergoing anti-cancer therapy: a retrospective observational cohort study. *Intern Med J.* 2023;53:104–11.
38. Wilk M, Kepski J, Kepska J, Casselli S, Szmít S. Exercise interventions in metastatic cancer disease: a literature review and a brief discussion on current and future perspectives. *BMJ Support Palliat Care.* 2020;10:404–10.
39. Qiu S, Jiang C, Zhou L. Physical activity and mortality in patients with colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Eur J Cancer Prev.* 2020;29:15–26.
40. Holmes MD, Chen WY, Feskanich D, Kroenke CH, Colditz GA. Physical activity and survival after breast cancer diagnosis. *JAMA.* 2005;293:2479–86.
41. Campbell KL, Winters-Stone KM, Wiskemann J, May AM, Schwartz AL, Courneya KS, et al. Exercise guidelines for cancer survivors: consensus statement from international multidisciplinary roundtable. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2019;51:2375–90.
42. Stout NL, Baima J, Swisher AK, Winters-Stone KM, Welsh J. A systematic review of exercise systematic reviews in the cancer literature (2005–2017). *PM R.* 2017;9:S347–84.
43. Sweegers MG, Altenburg TM, Chinapaw MJ, Kalter J, Verdonck-de Leeuw IM, Courneya KS, et al. Which exercise prescriptions improve quality of life and physical function in patients with cancer during and following treatment? a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Br J Sports Med.* 2018;52:505–13.
44. Coletta AM, Basen-Engquist KM, Schmitz KH. Exercise across the cancer care continuum: why it matters, how to implement it, and motivating patients to move. *Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book.* 2022;42:1–7.
45. Dekker J, Karchoud J, Braamse AMJ, Buiting H, Konings IRHM, van Linde ME, et al. Clinical management of emotions in patients with cancer: introducing the approach “emotional support and case finding.” *Transl Behav Med.* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1093/tbm/ibaa115>.
46. Brown JC, Huedo-Medina TB, Pescatello LS, Ryan SM, Pescatello SM, Moker E, et al. The efficacy of exercise in reducing depressive symptoms among cancer survivors: a meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE.* 2012;7:e30955.
47. Ferri A, Gane EM, Smith MD, Pinkham EP, Gomersall SR, Johnston V. Experiences of people with cancer who have participated in a hospital-based exercise program: a qualitative study. *Support Care Cancer.* 2021;29:1575–83.
48. Gil Herrero L, McNeely ML, Courneya KS, Castellanos Montealegre M, González Marquez AI, Pollan Santamaría M, et al. Safety, feasibility, and effectiveness of implementing supervised exercise into the clinical care of individuals with advanced cancer. *Clin Rehabil.* 2022;36:1666–78.
49. Mina DS, Sabiston CM, Au D, Fong AJ, Capozzi LC, Langelier D, et al. Connecting people with cancer to physical activity and exercise programs: a pathway to create accessibility and engagement. *Curr Oncol.* 2018;25:149–62.
50. Kirkham AA, Bland KA, Sayyari S, Campbell KL, Davis MK. Clinically relevant physical benefits of exercise interventions in breast cancer survivors. *Curr Oncol Rep.* 2016;18:12.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.